

[REDACTED]

From: Southend-on-Sea Borough Council <southend@jdi-consult.net>
Sent: 16 December 2016 15:31
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Representation received. ID:2553

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Ms Louise McDermott,

Thank you for your representation which we received as follows:

Representation ID: 2553
Document: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Revised Proposed Submission 2016
Section: Approach to Car Parking Management, 136
Support/Object: Comment

The parking situation in Southend on warm/sunny days is horrendous, many days being total gridlock down the seafront. This is not sustainable for the town and contributes to environmental pollution which has health effects. The move should be away from parking in the congested areas - encourage people to park and ride, use the numerous train stations, etc, on peak days.

This email is acknowledgement of the receipt of your representation. It is NOT confirmation that the representation has yet been registered. You will not receive any further notification by email that your representation has been registered until the end of the participation period.

[REDACTED]

From: Southend-on-Sea Borough Council <southend@jdi-consult.net>
Sent: 16 December 2016 15:42
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Representation received. ID:2554

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Ms Louise McDermott,

Thank you for your representation which we received as follows:

Representation ID: 2554
Document: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Revised Proposed Submission 2016
Section: 4.5. Culture, Leisure, Tourism and Recreational Facilities , 81
Support/Object: Object

Although tourism is important for Southend there are residents here who are affected by it. In order for the area to thrive with happy communities there should be a healthy and balanced mix however it appears that the tourist pound is valued above all else

CHANGE TO PLAN

There is more to Southend than the Central Seafront - the plan should look at other areas instead of turning this area into a tacky and over saturated nightmare. The plan mentions sustainability but it is not so.

The document is unsound because it is not:

i. Positively prepared

How you would like your representation to be considered at the independent examination: Written representation.

This email is acknowledgement of the receipt of your representation. It is NOT confirmation that the representation has yet been registered. You will not receive any further notification by email that your representation has been registered until the end of the participation period.

From: Southend-on-Sea Borough Council <southend@jdi-consult.net>
Sent: 16 December 2016 15:50
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Representation received. ID:2555

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Ms Louise McDermott,

Thank you for your representation which we received as follows:

Representation ID: 2555
Document: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Revised Proposed Submission 2016
Section: Scale of Residential Development, 73
Support/Object: Object

The development of so many properties may meet one target but what about the effects on the infrastructure? No thought seems to have been given to the extra provision that will be required for services such as doctors, green space, car parking. This mentality is incredibly short sighted and does not bode well for cohesive communities.

CHANGE TO PLAN

Where housing is implemented there must be the associated services available that are not saturated, parking for the new residents, extra green space so that people do not go stir crazy and feel the need to drive to the seafront, which is already over saturated with visitors. It is not sustainable.

The document is unsound because it is not:

- i. Positively prepared

How you would like your representation to be considered at the independent examination: Written representation.

This email is acknowledgement of the receipt of your representation. It is NOT confirmation that the representation has yet been registered. You will not receive any further notification by email that your representation has been registered until the end of the participation period.

[REDACTED]

From: Southend-on-Sea Borough Council <southend@jdi-consult.net>
Sent: 16 December 2016 15:54
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Representation received. ID:2556

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Ms Louise McDermott,

Thank you for your representation which we received as follows:

Representation ID: 2556
Document: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Revised Proposed Submission 2016
Section: Sustainable Drainage, 120
Support/Object: Object

Green fields wherever possible? Where are the green fields in this area?
There are none. We have seen the shambles of the developed shared space seafront and the flooding that occurs there when it rains heavily. The newly proposed accommodation will put even more strain on this area and increase the risk of flooding unless a concrete plan is put in place to prevent it.
The development should not be allowed until the drainage is guaranteed to be failsafe.

CHANGE TO PLAN

"Where possible" is not acceptable in these circumstances. Unless the drainage is failsafe then development should not occur. It is not sustainable.

The document is unsound because it is not:
i. Positively prepared

How you would like your representation to be considered at the independent examination: Written representation.

This email is acknowledgement of the receipt of your representation. It is NOT confirmation that the representation has yet been registered. You will not receive any further notification by email that your representation has been registered until the end of the participation period.

[REDACTED]

From: Southend-on-Sea Borough Council <southend@jdi-consult.net>
Sent: 16 December 2016 16:00
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Representation received. ID:2557

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Ms Louise McDermott,

Thank you for your representation which we received as follows:

Representation ID: 2557
Document: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Revised Proposed Submission 2016
Section: Sustainable Development, 15
Support/Object: Object

I object to sustainability being used as a buzz word when the plan itself does not make enough effort to deal with the current issues of traffic gridlock and over saturation of the seafront along with building numerous flats with no regard for the additional infrastructure required

CHANGE TO PLAN

Sustainable needs to be implemented in a meaningful way. Incentivise people to avoid driving. Where housing developments are planned provide green space, additional facilities and show how the infrastructure is good enough to support the additional residents. All this strategy does is pay lip service to the idea of sustainability.

The document is unsound because it is not:

- i. Positively prepared

How you would like your representation to be considered at the independent examination: Written representation.

This email is acknowledgement of the receipt of your representation. It is NOT confirmation that the representation has yet been registered. You will not receive any further notification by email that your representation has been registered until the end of the participation period.